

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/826,886	04/15/2004	Richard David Taylor	MP2209-156672	1435	
65589 SCHWABE, V	7590 04/28/200 VILLIAMSON & WY A	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 S.W. FIFTH AVENUE			RILEY, MARCUS T		
PORTLAND,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/826,886	TAYLOR ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
MARCUS T. RILEY	2625				

	MARCUS T. RILEY	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO profit or reply is specified above, the meaning must statutory period with apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO profit or reply is specified above, the meaning statutory bench will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any earned pattern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1:70 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Fe</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) \(\) Claim(s) \(\frac{1-7}{2} \) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \(\begin{small} \] is/are withdraw 5) \(\begin{small} \] Claim(s) \(\begin{small} \] is/are allowed. 6) \(\begin{small} \] Claim(s) \(\begin{small} \] is/are objected to. 8) \(\begin{small} \] Claim(s) \(\begin{small} \] are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)	_						

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/GDr08)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/15/2006.

- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: __

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is responsive to applicant's remarks received on February 18, 2009.
 Claims 1-7 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1-3 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amini '538 et al (US 5,381,538 hereinafter, Amini '538) in combination with Mills et al. (US 5,696,917 hereinafter, Mills '917).

Regarding claim 1; Amini '538 discloses a programmable interface comprising ("Planar I/O interface circuit 112 controls the transferring and storing of information in PIO registers 114 as well as DMA control backup circuit 110. Planar I/O interface circuit provides PIO programming information to and receives PIO programming information from PIO registers 114." column 10, lines 18-21):

Art Unit: 2625

a register file having a plurality of registers, each register having a type (See Figure 2 wherein #104 is a 20 byte FIFO and #114 is a PIO register);

a run control register (See Figure 3 wherein #114 is a PIO register "PIO registers 114 store program information which is used during the operation of DMA controller 52. PIO registers include eight channels, corresponding to the eight channels of DMA controller 52. Each channel stores control information for a corresponding channel of DMA controller 52." column 13, lines 32-40);

a Code Store SRAM, bidirectionally communicating with the microcontroller (see Figure 1 where #34 is the SRAM and #30 is the microprocessor within the processor portion #20. "Buffers 36, 38 are bidirectional, i.e., buffers 36, 38 can latch information which is provided by processor portion 20 and information which is provided to processor portion 20 may be replaced or upgraded while maintaining a standard base portion 22." column 3, lines 19-25);

a microcontroller configured to bidirectionally communicate with the register file and the run control register (See Figure 1 where #34 is the SRAM and #30 is the microprocessor within the processor portion #20.

"Buffers 36, 38 are bidirectional, i.e., buffers 36, 38 can latch information which is provided by processor portion 20 and information which is provided to processor portion 20. Because buffers 36, 38 are bidirectional, processor portion 20 may be replaced or upgraded while maintaining a standard base portion 22." column 3, lines 19-25);

wherein the Code Store SRAM and the run control register bidirectionally communicates with a system processor (See Figure 1 where #34 is the SRAM and #30 is the microprocessor within the processor portion #20. "Buffers 36, 38 are bidirectional, i.e., buffers 36, 38 can latch information which is provided by processor portion 20 and information which is provided to processor portion 20 Because buffers 36, 38 are bidirectional, processor portion 20 may be replaced or upgraded while maintaining a standard base portion 22." column 3, lines 19-25).

Amini '538 does not expressly disclose an executable code, loaded onto the Code Store RAM; wherein the system processor is configured to load the executable code onto the Code Store SRAM; and further configured to signal the microcontroller, via the run control register, to begin execution of one or more instructions included in the executable code.

Art Unit: 2625

Mills '917 discloses and executable code, loaded onto the Code Store RAM ("In such a case, the program associated with the selected game will be loaded into SRAM 240... Moreover, the read/write files (including the executable code for the game) stored in battery backed SRAM 240 will not be lost." column 10, lines 33-53);

wherein the system processor is configured to load the executable code onto the Code

Store SRAM and further configured to signal the microcontroller, via the run control register, to
begin execution of one or more instructions included in the executable code ("In such a case, the
program associated with the selected game will be loaded into SRAM 240... Moreover, the read/write files (Including the
executable code for the game) stored in battery backed SRAM 240 will not be lost." column 10, lines 33-53); See also ("... a
cache line of internal cache 215 of microprocessor 210 can be filled from SRAM 240 faster than would be the case if a DRAM based main memory was used. Also, programs executing from SRAM 240 can be accessed, and hence executed, faster than
would be the case if a DRAM based memory was used." column 12, lines 11-16.

Amini '538 and Mills '917 are combinable because they are from same field of endeavor of communication systems ("The present invention pertains to the field of the architecture of computer systems..." Mills '917 at column 1, lines 7-8).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the communication system as taught by Amini '538 by adding an executable code, loaded onto the Code Store RAM; wherein the system processor is configured to load the executable code onto the Code Store SRAM; and further configured to signal the microcontroller, via the run control register, to begin execution of one or more instructions included in the executable code as taught by Mills '917. The motivation for doing so would have been because it advantageous to enable flash memory to operate in an optimal synchronous fashion with any synchronous bus to provide a low cost and to eliminate the time required to transfer code and data from the hard disk to the main memory. ("It is also an object of this invention to enable flash memory to operate in an optimal synchronous fashion with any synchronous bus to provide a low cost, low power

alternative to volatile main memory, and to eliminate the time required to transfer code and data from the hard disk to the main memory." Mills '917 at column 6, lines 56-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Amini '538 with Mills '917 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 4; Amini '538 discloses a device wherein the register type further includes FIFO registers, operative to communicate with a direct memory access controller (See Figure 2 where Fig. 2 is a schematic block diagram of a DMA controller and Figure 3 is a schematic block diagram of a portion of the Fig. 2 DMA controller. See Figure 4 where Fig. 4 is a schematic block diagram of the FIFO circuits of the DMA controller of Figs. 2 and 3.)

Regarding claim 7; Amini '538 discloses a device wherein the system processor is configured to bidirectionally communicate with the register file (See Figure 1 where #34 is the SRAM and #30 is the microprocessor within the processor portion #20. "Buffers 36, 38 are bidirectional, i.e., buffers 36, 38 can latch information which is provided to processor portion 20 and information which is provided to processor portion 20. Because buffers 36, 38 are bidirectional, processor portion 20 may be replaced or upgraded while maintaining a standard base portion 22." column 3, lines 19-25);

Claims 2, 3 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amini
 '538 and Mills '917 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Curry et al. (US 6,112,275 hereinafter, Curry '275).

Regarding claim 2; Amini '538 as modified does not expressly disclose a device wherein the types of the registers are selected from a group that includes timer, General purpose, external I/O, internal I/O, shared, and interrupt.

Curry '275 discloses a device wherein the types of the registers are selected from a group that includes timer. General purpose, external I/O, internal I/O, shared, and interrupt (See Figure 2)

Art Unit: 2625

wherein Fig. 21 shows register 2104 and Figures 22A-N show the schematic circuit, timing, and state diagrams of the embodiment of Fig. 21. "Further preferred embodiment circuitry for chips 0130 and 0130' in the modules of FIGS. 1A-B are shown schematically in FIGS. 21, 22A, 22B, 22D, and 22G FIG. 21 is a top level schematic of the embodiment, denoted generally 2100, which includes a single input-output terminal 10, a 1-wire converier 2102, an 8-bit command shift register 2104, a command decoder 2106, ROM and control 2108, secure RAM 2110, multiplexer 2112, power supply battery 2114, and battery test circuit 2116. Embodiment 2100 receives and transmits serially over the 10 terminal..." column 36, lines 52-56).

Amini '538 and Curry '275 are combinable because they are from same field of endeavor of communication systems (Method of communicating..." Curry '275, See Title).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the communication system as taught by Amini '538 by adding discloses a device wherein the types of the registers are selected from a group that includes timer, General purpose, external I/O, internal I/O, shared, and interrupt as taught by Curry '275. The motivation for doing so would have been because it advantageous to provide higher functionality at lower cost in a more compact package. ("One of the long-term trends in electronics has been to provide higher functionality at lower cost in a more compact package." Curry '275 at column 2, lines 17-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Amini '538 with Curry '275 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 3; Curry '275 discloses a device wherein when one of the registers has a type of external I/O, the register including edge detect logic (See Figures 9A-9B which shows the control logic used, in the presently preferred embodiment. "FIGS. 9A and 9B are two parts of a single Figure which shows the control logic used, in the presently preferred embodiment, in the integrated circuit of FIG. 6. After the protocol register 920 has been loaded, counter chain 910 counts successive clock pulses. (Every falling edge on the data line will lead to a clock pulse within the module, and these pulses are counted by counter 920.) The counter 910 is also connected to logic which will intercept the clock signal (to freeze the count), and activate signal 210, as soon as 256 bits of data have been read or written. (Note that the counter chain shown actually includes two more stages than are needed. This permits ready modification for 1024-bit

Art Unit: 2625

embodiments.) Register 920 receives the protocol word. The stages of this register are connected so that a RESET will set the first stage, and clear the other stages. Thus, when a 1 propagates through to the last stage, a protocol word has been loaded." column 15. lines 64-67 thru column 16. lines 1-13).

Regarding claim 6; Curry '275 discloses a device wherein the executable code is selected from a group that includes serial interfaces, parallel interfaces, serial peripheral interface (SPI), Synchronous Serial Interface (SSI), MicroWire, Inter Integrated Circuit (12C), control area network (CAN), UART, IEEE1284, LCD interface, front panel interface, and MODEM ("To interface to this protocol, the programmable capabilities of the standard UART chip in the computer's RS232 Interface are exploited to provide adaptation to the time base requirements of the module. This is done by writing an entire byte of output from the UART, at a much higher band rate than the module can be relied on to accept, to write a single bit of data into the module. The read-data line (RX) of the UART is tied back to the transmit-data line (TX) through a resistor, so that the UART will also always report a read of the same data byte being written, unless the token has turned on its pull-down transistor." column 10, lines 18-28).

 Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amini '538 and Mills '917 as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Ueda (US 5,631,637 hereinafter, Ueda '637).

Regarding claim 5; Amini '538 as modified does not expressly disclose a device wherein the executable code implements a laser printer mechanism communications interface and a vertical top-of-page synchronization interface.

Ueda '637 discloses a device wherein the executable code implements a laser printer mechanism communications interface and a vertical top-of-page synchronization interface ("When the printing data of a page are developed in the bit map memory 17, the main control unit 18 sends a printing start signal 121 to a printing mechanism shown in FIG. 2. Said printing mechanism is of so-called raster scanning type, such as a laser beam

printer, and releases a horizontal synchronization (BD) signal 122 and a vertical synchronization signal 123 when the printing operation is enabled." column 4, lines 7-14).

Amini '538 and Ueda '637 are combinable with because they are from same field of endeavor of communication systems ("The present embodiment has been explained by a configuration employing a laser beam printer, but the present invention is not limited to such configuration and is applicable to any equipment that can effect wireless or cable exchange of data with an external equipment, such as a printer of other types, a display apparatus, a memory apparatus or a communication apparatus." Smith '733 at column 6, lines 6-12).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the communication system as taught by Amini '538 and Ueda '637 by adding a device wherein the executable code implements a laser printer mechanism communications interface and a vertical top-of-page synchronization interface as taught by Ueda '637. The motivation for doing so would have been because it advantageous to provide an output apparatus for receiving data from an external equipment ("Sill another object of the present invention is to provide an output apparatus for receiving data from an external equipment..." Smith '733 at column 2, lines 3-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Amini '538 with Ueda '637 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Examiner Notes

7. The Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully considers the references in its entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or as disclosed by the Examiner.

Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MARCUS T. RILEY whose telephone number is (571)270-1581.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:00, est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcus T. Riley Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2625

/MARCUS T. RILEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625